IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

CHARLES HARTMAN,)			
)			
Plaintiff,)			
)			
V.)	CASE	NO.	CV422-234
)			
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,)			
WARDEN JONES, WARDEN GLENN, LT.)			
KAPLIN, COUNCILER HENRY, SERT)			
TEAM ZEKE, SERT TEAM DURANT,)			
BROOKS, and FLETCHER,)			
)			
Defendants.)			
)			

ORDER

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge's November 1, 2022, Report and Recommendation (Doc. 5), to which no objections have been filed. After a careful review of the record, the report and recommendation (Doc. 5) is **ADOPTED** as the Court's opinion in this case. Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. (Doc. 1.) The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to close this case.

SO ORDERED this 2000 day of December 2022.

WILLIAM T. MOORE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

The Court reviews de novo a magistrate judge's findings to which a party objects, and the Court reviews for clear error the portions of a report and recommendation to which a party does not object. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see Merchant v. Nationwide Recovery Serv., Inc., 440 F. Supp. 3d 1369, 1371 (N.D. Ga. 2020) (outlining the standard of review for report and recommendations (citing Macort v. Prem, Inc., 208 F. App'x 781, 784 (11th Cir. 2006) (per curiam))).